## A note on Morse's index theorem for Perelman's $\mathcal{L}$ -length Hong Huang

**Abstract** This is essentially a note on Section 7 of Perelman's first paper on Ricci flow. We list some basic properties of the index form for Perelman's  $\mathcal{L}$ -length, which are analogous to the ones in Riemannian case (with fixed metric), and observe that Morse's index theorem for Perelman's  $\mathcal{L}$ -length holds. As a corollary we get the finiteness of the number of the  $\mathcal{L}$ -conjugate points along a finite  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic.

In his ground-breaking work [6] on Ricci flow Perelman introduced  $\mathcal{L}$ -length,  $\mathcal{L}$ -Jacobi field among many other important innovations. For more details see [2],[3], and [5]. Here we'll add some notes on Section 7 of this paper of Perelman's. We list some basic properties of the index form for Perelman's  $\mathcal{L}$ -length, which are analogous to the ones in Riemannian case (with fixed metric, cf.[1], [4] and [7]), and observe that Morse's index theorem for Perelman's  $\mathcal{L}$ -length holds. The main idea of the proof is the same as that of the fixed metric case, but one needs to be careful when the  $\tau$ -interval is  $[0, \bar{\tau}]$  (see in particular the proof of the Key Lemma below). As a corollary we get the finiteness of number of the  $\mathcal{L}$ -conjugate points along a finite  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic.

Throughout this note we assume  $(M, g(\tau))$ , where  $(g_{ij})_{\tau} = 2R_{ij}$ , is a (backwards) Ricci flow, which is complete for each  $\tau$ -slice and has uniformly bounded curvature operator on an interval  $[\tau_1, \tau_2]$ .

Recall Perelman's  $\mathcal{L}$ -length  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma) = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \sqrt{\tau} (R(\gamma(\tau)) + |\dot{\gamma}(\tau)|^2) d\tau$  for a curve  $\gamma(\tau)$   $(\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2)$  in M.

**Definition 1** Let  $p \in M$ ,  $v \in T_pM$ , and  $\gamma_v$  be the  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic with  $\gamma_v(0) = p$ ,  $\lim_{\tau \to 0} \sqrt{\tau} \dot{\gamma}_v(\tau) = v$ . We say  $q = \gamma_v(\bar{\tau})$  is a  $\mathcal{L}$ -conjugate point of p along the  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic  $\gamma_v$  if v is a critical point of the  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\tau}}$ -exponential map  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\tau}}exp$ . Here, (following the notation in [2],)  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\tau}}exp(v) = \mathcal{L}exp_v(\bar{\tau})$  ( $= \gamma_v(\bar{\tau})$ ).

**Definition 2**(Perelman) A vector field along a  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic  $\gamma$  is called  $\mathcal{L}$ -Jacobi field, if it is the variation vector field of a one parameter family of  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesics  $\gamma_s$  with  $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ .

The equation for a  $\mathcal{L}$ -Jacobi field U along a  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic  $\gamma_v(\tau)$  (  $0 < \tau_1 \le \tau \le \tau_2$ ) is ( see, for example, [2])

 $\nabla_X \nabla_X U - R(X, U)X - 1/2\nabla_U(\nabla R) + 2(\nabla_U \operatorname{Ric})(X) + 2\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla_X U) + 1/(2\tau)\nabla_X U = 0.$ 

(Here and below,  $X(\tau) = \dot{\gamma}_v(\tau)$ . Moreover Ric(Y) here means  $Ric(Y, \cdot)$  in Perelman [6].)

One can easily extend this to the case  $\tau_1 = 0$ . (See [2].)

**Remark 1** As in the Riemannian case (with fixed metric)  $q = \gamma_v(\bar{\tau})$  is a  $\mathcal{L}$ -conjugate point of  $p = \gamma_v(0)$  along the  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic  $\gamma_v(\tau)(0 \le \tau \le \bar{\tau})$  if and only if there is a nontrivial  $\mathcal{L}$ -Jacobi field U along  $\gamma_v$  with  $U(0) = U(\bar{\tau}) = 0$ .

The  $\mathcal{L}$ -index form along a  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic  $\gamma_v(\tau)$  (  $\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2$ ) is defined to be  $I(U,V) = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \tau^{1/2} [(\operatorname{Hess} R)(U,V) + 2\langle \nabla_X U, \nabla_X V \rangle + 2\langle R(U,X)V, X \rangle - 2(\nabla_U \operatorname{Ric})(V,X) - 2(\nabla_V \operatorname{Ric})(U,X) + 2(\nabla_X \operatorname{Ric})(U,V)] d\tau$ 

for any piecewise smooth vector fields U,V along  $\gamma_v$ .

This is a symmetric, bilinear form.

**Remark 2** If  $Y(\tau)$  is a smooth vector field along a  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic  $\gamma_v(\tau)(0 \leq \tau \leq \bar{\tau})$ , and Y(0) = 0, then  $I(Y,Y) = \delta_Y^2 \mathcal{L} - \delta_{\nabla_Y Y} \mathcal{L}$ . (Compare with formula (7.7) in Perelman [6].)

Now we prove a

**Key Lemma** For any vector fields U,V along a  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic  $\gamma_v(\tau)(0 < \tau_1 \le \tau \le \tau_2)$  with U smooth ( and V piecewise smooth ), we have

$$I(U,V) = 2\tau^{1/2} \langle \nabla_X U, V \rangle|_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} - 2 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \tau^{1/2} \langle \nabla_X \nabla_X U - R(X,U)X - 1/2\nabla_U(\nabla R) + 2(\nabla_U \text{Ric})(X) + 2\text{Ric}(\nabla_X U) + 1/(2\tau)\nabla_X U, V \rangle d\tau.$$

Furthermore, this equality extends to the case  $\tau_1 = 0$ .

**Proof** In case  $\tau_1 > 0$  one simply use

$$d/d\tau \langle \nabla_X U, V \rangle = \langle \nabla_X \nabla_X U, V \rangle + \langle \nabla_X U, \nabla_X V \rangle + 2\text{Ric}(\nabla_X U, V) + (\nabla_X \text{Ric})(U, V) + (\nabla_U \text{Ric})(V, X) - (\nabla_V \text{Ric})(X, U)$$

(compare with formula (11.2) in [3]), and

$$d/d\tau(\tau^{1/2}\langle\nabla_X U, V\rangle) = (1/2)\tau^{-1/2}\langle\nabla_X U, V\rangle + \tau^{1/2}d/d\tau\langle\nabla_X U, V\rangle,$$

then integration by parts, and the formula follows.

To justify the  $\tau_1 = 0$  case, it suffices to observe

$$\tau(\nabla_X \nabla_X U - R(X, U)X - 1/2\nabla_U(\nabla R) + 2(\nabla_U \text{Ric})(X) + 2\text{Ric}(\nabla_X U) + 1/(2\tau)\nabla_X U) = \nabla_{\sqrt{\tau}X} \nabla_{\sqrt{\tau}X} U - R(\sqrt{\tau}X, U)\sqrt{\tau}X - \tau/2\nabla_U(\nabla R) + 2\sqrt{\tau}(\nabla_U \text{Ric})(\sqrt{\tau}X) + 2\sqrt{\tau}\text{Ric}(\nabla_{\sqrt{\tau}X} U)$$
(compare with [2]), and note that  $\lim_{\tau \to 0} \sqrt{\tau}X(\tau)$  exists, and that the integration  $\int_0^1 \tau^{-1/2} d\tau$  converges.

**Remark 3** One can easily generalize the Key Lemma to the case that U is piecewise smooth.

Below we list some basic properties of  $\mathcal{L}$ -Jacobi field which is analogous to the Riemannian case (with fixed metric, see [1], [4], and in particular [7]), whose proof is similar to the fixed metric case and is omitted (in the proof the Key Lemma above play an important role).

For convenience we denote by  $\mathcal{V}_0(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  the space of piecewise smooth vector fields  $V(\tau)$  along a  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic  $\gamma_v(\tau)$  (  $\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2$ ) with  $V(\tau_1) = V(\tau_2) = 0$ .

In the following lemmata we suppose  $\gamma_v(\tau)$  (  $\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2$ ) is a  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic.

**Lemma 1** Let  $\gamma_v(\tau_2)$  be  $\mathcal{L}$ -conjugate to  $\gamma_v(\tau_1)$  along  $\gamma_v$ . Then for any  $\mathcal{L}$ -Jacobi field  $U \in \mathcal{V}_0(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  one has I(U, U) = 0.

**Lemma 2** If  $\gamma_v(\tau)$  ( $\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2$ ) does not contain any  $\mathcal{L}$ -conjugate point of  $\gamma_v(\tau_1)$ , then the  $\mathcal{L}$ -index form is positive definite on  $\mathcal{V}_0(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Lemma 3** Let  $\gamma_v(\tau_2)$  be  $\mathcal{L}$ -conjugate to  $\gamma_v(\tau_1)$  along  $\gamma_v$ , but for any  $\tau$  such that  $\tau_1 < \tau < \tau_2$ ,  $\gamma_v(\tau)$  is not  $\mathcal{L}$ -conjugate to  $\gamma_v(\tau_1)$  along  $\gamma_v$ . Then the  $\mathcal{L}$ -index form is positive semi-definite ( but not positive definite) on  $\mathcal{V}_0(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Lemma 4** There exists  $\tau'$  with  $\tau_1 < \tau' < \tau_2$  such that  $\gamma_v(\tau')$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -conjugate to  $\gamma_v(\tau_1)$  along  $\gamma_v$  if and only if there exists a vector field  $Y \in \mathcal{V}_0(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that I(Y,Y) < 0.

**Lemma 5** U is a  $\mathcal{L}$ -Jacobi field if and only if I(U,Y) = 0 for any vector field  $Y \in \mathcal{V}_0(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Lemma 6** Suppose  $\gamma_v(\tau)$  does not contain any  $\mathcal{L}$ -conjugate point of  $\gamma_v(\tau_1)$ . Let U, Y be piecewise smooth vector field along  $\gamma_v(\tau)$  with  $U(\tau_1) = Y(\tau_1)$ ,  $U(\tau_2) = Y(\tau_2)$ , and U is a  $\mathcal{L}$ -Jacobi field. Then  $I(U, U) \leq I(Y, Y)$ . The equality holds if and only if Y = U.

**Remark 4** Lemma 6 was used in Perelman [6](7.11).

**Lemma 7** Suppose that  $\gamma_v(\tau_2)$  is not  $\mathcal{L}$ -conjugate to  $\gamma_v(\tau_1)$  along  $\gamma_v$ . Then given any  $w \in T_{\gamma_v(\tau_2)}M$  there exists an unique  $\mathcal{L}$ -Jacobi field U along  $\gamma_v$  such that  $U(\tau_1) = 0$  and  $U(\tau_2) = w$ .

Let  $\gamma_v(\tau)(0 \leq \tau \leq \bar{\tau})$  be a  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic. The index of the  $\mathcal{L}$ -index form I along  $\gamma_v$  is defined to be the maximum dimension of a subspace of  $\mathcal{V}_0(0,\bar{\tau})$  on which I is negative definite.

Now we can state Morse's index theorem for Perelman's  $\mathcal{L}$ -length.

**Theorem** The index of  $\mathcal{L}$ -index form along a  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic  $\gamma_v(\tau)(0 \leq \tau \leq \bar{\tau})$  is equal to the number (counting with multiplicity) of  $\mathcal{L}$ -conjugate points  $\gamma_v(\tau')(0 < \tau' < \bar{\tau})$  of  $\gamma_v(0)$  along  $\gamma_v$ . The index is always finite.

Here, by definition, the multiplicity of a  $\mathcal{L}$ -conjugate point  $\gamma_v(\tau')$  of  $\gamma_v(0)$  along a  $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic  $\gamma_v$  is the dimension of subspace that consists of all  $\mathcal{L}$ -Jacobi fields in  $\mathcal{V}_0(0,\tau')$ .

**Proof** As in the fixed metric case, the main idea is trying to reduce the problem to a finite dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{V}_0(0,\bar{\tau})$ , using the lemmata above. The detail is similar to that of the fixed metric case ( see [1], [4] and [7]) and is omitted.

We have the following immediate

Corollary The number of the  $\mathcal{L}$ -conjugate points of  $\gamma_v(0)$  along  $\gamma_v(\tau)(0 \le \tau \le \bar{\tau})$  is finite.

**Acknowledgements** I'm partially supported by a fund from Beijing Normal University. I would also like to thank Prof. Hongzhu Gao for his support.

## References

- [1]J. Cheeger, D. Ebin, Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry, North-Holland Publishing Co. (1975).
  - [2]B. Chow, P. Lu, L. Ni, A quick introduction to Ricci flow, book to appear.
  - [3]B. Kleiner, J. Lott, Notes on Perelman's papers, December 30,2004.
  - [4] J. Milnor, Morse theory, Princeton University Press (1963).
- [5]N. Sesum, G. Tian, and X. Wang, Note on Perelman's paper on the entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, October 7, 2004.
- [6]G. Perelman, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, axXiv:math.DG/0211159 v1 11 Nov 2002.
- [7]H.Wu, C. Shen and Y. Yu, Introduction to Riemannian geometry (in Chinese), Peking University Press, 1989.

Department of Mathematics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, P.R. China

huanghong74@yahoo.com.cn